3

### **ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE**

Page 1 of 2

1. ECN 635526

Proj. ECN

				····	
2. ECN Category (mark one)	<ol> <li>Originator's Name and Telephone No.</li> </ol>	e, Organization, MSIN,	4. USQ Requ	ired?	5. Date
Supplemental []	Cheryl J. Bena	   [ ] Yes [	X] No	08/06/97	
Direct Revision [X]		Interpretation,	ļ		
Change ECN [] Temporary []	R2-12, 372-125 6. Project Title/No.		7. Bldg./Sys	/Fao No	8. Approval Designator
Standby [] Supersedure []	-	241-B-110	241-E		N/A
Cancel/Void []	9. Document Numbers		10. Related		11. Related PO No.
	(includes sheet r	no. and rev.)			
40 444 - 44		R-368, Rev. 1	ECN-6		N/A
12a. Modification Work	12b. Work Package No.	12c Modification Work (	Complete		ed to Original Condi- or Standby ECN only)
[] Yes (fill out Blk. 12b)	N/A	N/A			N/A
[X] No (NA Blks. 12b,		Design Authority/Cog.	Engineer	Design A	uthority/Cog. Engineer
12c, 12d)		Signature & Da			ignature & Date
13a. Description of Change		13b. Design Baseline			No No
This ECN was genera					
narrative, and to ι Itank.	ipaate the compr	enensive radionuci	rue mvem	tory estin	liates for the
burne.					
			•		
, i	•				
			:		
	•	•			·
			•		
14a. Justification (mark o Criteria Change []	ne) Design Improvement	[X] Environmental	[]	Facili	ty Deactivation []
As-Found	Facilitate Const	Const. Error/0			Error/Omission []
14b. Justification Details					
Initial release of	this document w	as deficient.			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
15. Distribution (include See attached distri		f copies)			RELEASE STAMP
see attached distri	DULTOH.			l . ,	
		•		DATE:	MANFORD L
	•			STA:	RELEASE )
	•			4,10	E hoor
				AUG 2	٥ ١٩٧١ -

ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE					1. ECN (us	e no. from pg. 1)	
	AGIIAETUIAG A	MANGE NO	IICE		Page 2 of 2	ECN-635	526
16. Design	17. Cost Impact		<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18. Schedule I	mpact (days)
Verification Required	ENGIN	IEERING	cc	NSTRUC	TION		
[] Yes	Additional	[] \$	Additional	[	[] \$	Improvement	[]
[X] No	Savings	[] \$	Savings	[	] \$	Delay	[]
	Review: Indicate	ange described	in Block 13. Ent	nan the	e engineering do affected docum	ment number in Bl	lock 20.
SDD/DD	[]		c/Stress Analysis			Tank Calibration Ma	L J
Functional Design Criteri	a []		Design Report			Health Physics Proc	LJ
Operating Specification	[]		ce Control Drawing			Spares Multiple Uni	- 6.3
Criticality Specification	[]		tion Procedure		[]	Test Procedures/Sp	LJ
Conceptual Design Repo	т []		tion Procedure			Component Index	[]
Equipment Spec.	[]	Mainte	nance Procedure			ASME Coded Item	
Const. Spec.	[]	Engine	ering Procedure			Human Factor Cons	ideration []
Procurement Spec.		Operat	ing Instruction		[]	Computer Software	[:]
Vendor Information	[]	Operat	ing Procedure		[]	Electric Circuit Sche	edule []
OM Manual	[]	Operat	ional Safety Requirem	ent		ICRS Procedure	[]
FSAR/SAR	[]	IEFD D	rawing		[]	Process Control Ma	nual/Plan []
Safety Equipment List	[]	Cell Ar	rangement Drawing			Process Flow Chart	[]
Radiation Work Permit	[]	Essenti	ial Material Specificati	on	[]	Purchase Requisition	n []
Environmental Impact St	atement []	Fac. Pr	oc. Samp. Schedule		[]	Tickler File	[]
Environmental Report		Inspect	tion Plan		[]		[]
Environmental Permit	[]	Invento	ory Adjustment Reque	st			[]
	bocuments: (Note the signing organi mber/Revision	zation has bee	n notified of oth	er aff	ected documents	listed below.  Document Numb	
21. Approvals		<del></del>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-		·	
	Signature		Date		Signa	ature	Date
Design Authority				Desig	gn Agent		
Cog. Eng. C.J. Ber	, (		8/20/97	PE			
Cog. Mgr. K.M. Hal	1 Kataleen	m. Hay	8/20/97	QA			
QA	•		,	Safe	ty		
Safety				Desig	gn		
Environ.				Envi	ron.		
Other				Othe	r		
							<u></u>
				DEPAR	RIMENT OF ENERGY	<u>(</u>	
					ature or a Conti ks the Approval		
				ADD I	TIONAL		<u></u>
		·	<del></del>	-			
l							



# Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-B-110

Cheryl J. Benar

Lockheed Martin Hanford, Corp., Richland, WA 99352 U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: ECN-635526

UC: 2070

Org Code: 74620

Charge Code: N4G3A

B&Ř Code: EW 3120074

Total Pages: 223

Key Words: Waste Characterization, Single-Shell Tank, SST, Tank 241-B -110, 241-B-110, B-110, B Farm, Tank Characterization Report, TCR, Waste Inventory. TPA Milestone M-44

Abstract: This document summarizes the information on the historical uses, present status, and the sampling and analysis results of waste stored in Tank 241-B-110. This report supports the requirements of the Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-44-05.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

Lease Approvat Date

DATE MANFORD ID:
AUG 2 5 1997

Release Stamp

**Approved for Public Release** 

## (1) Document Number RECORD OF REVISION HNF-SD-WM-ER-368 Page 1 (2) Title Tank Characterization Report for Single-Shell Tank 241-8-110 CHANGE CONTROL RECORD Authorized for Release (4) Description of Change - Replace, Add, and Delete Pages (3) Revision (5) Cog. Engr. (6) Cog. Mgr. Date Initially released 09/06/94 on EDT-0 B.C. C.S. Haller 608061. Simpson $^{1}$ RS Incorporate per ECN-635435. K.M. Hall T.J. Kunthara, 1-A RS C.J. Benar Incorporate per ECN-635526. K.m. Hall

### 3.0 BEST-BASIS INVENTORY ESTIMATE

Information about the chemical and/or physical properties of tank wastes is used to perform safety analyses, engineering evaluations, and risk assessments associated with waste management activities, as well as to address regulatory issues. Waste management activities include overseeing tank farm operations and identifying, monitoring, and resolving safety issues associated with these operations and with the tank wastes. Disposal activities involve designing equipment, processes, and facilities for retrieving wastes and processing the wastes into a form that is suitable for long-term storage.

Chemical inventory information generally is derived using two approaches: 1) component inventories are estimated using the results of sample analyses; and 2) component inventories are predicted using a model based on process knowledge and historical information. The most recent model was developed by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) (Agnew et al. 1997). Not surprisingly, information derived from these two different approaches is often inconsistent.

An effort is underway to provide waste inventory estimates that will serve as standard characterization information for the various waste management activities (Hodgson and LeClair 1996). Appendix D contains the complete narrative regarding the derivation of the inventory estimates presented in Tables 3-1 and 3-2.

Table 3-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110, (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total Inventory (kg)	Basis (S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
Al	1420	S	
Bi	23200	S	
Ca	1010	S	
Cl	1540	S	
TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	5630	S	
Cr	1010	S	
F	2370	S	
Fe	22600	S	
Hg	0	M	
K	390	S	
La	39.8	S	
Mn	83.6	S	
Na	122000	S	

Table 3-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110. (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total Inventory (kg)	Basis (S,M,E, or C)	Comment
Ni	23.3	S	
NO <sub>2</sub>	12900	S	
NO <sub>3</sub>	234000	S	
OH	51900	C	charge balance calculation
P as PO <sub>4</sub>	61600	S	P as PO4
Pb	661	S	
S as SO <sub>4</sub>	14400	S	S as SO4
Si	11700	S	
Sr	264	S	
TOC	477	S	
$\mathrm{U_{TOTAL}}$	260	S	
Zr	7.82	S	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S=Sample-based.

M=Hanford Defined Waste model-based (Agnew et al. 1996)

E=Engineering assessment-based

C=Calculated by charge balance; includes oxides as hydroxides, not including CO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, PO<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub>, and SiO<sub>3</sub>

Table 3-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total Inventory (Ci)	Basis (S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
<sup>3</sup> H	1.48	M	
<sup>14</sup> C	0.281	M	
<sup>59</sup> Ni	1.34	M	
<sup>60</sup> Co	0.374	M	
<sup>63</sup> Ni	135	M	
<sup>79</sup> Se	1.27	M	
<sup>90</sup> Sr	135000	S	
<sup>90</sup> Y	135000	S	based on 90Sr
<sup>93m</sup> Nb	4.37	M	
<sup>93</sup> Zr	5,82	M	

Table 3-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

241-B-110 Decayed to January 1,		1994 (Dilective S	4. No. 2010 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
Analyte	Total	Basis	Comment
	Inventory	(S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	
- 22	(Ci)	-	
<sup>99</sup> Tc	20.7	S	
<sup>106</sup> Ru	0.00433	M	
<sup>113m</sup> Cd	24.9	M	
<sup>125</sup> Sb	1.67	M	
<sup>126</sup> Sn	1.98	M	
<sup>129</sup> I	0.045	S	
<sup>134</sup> Cs	0.0887	M	
<sup>137m</sup> Ba	17600	S	based on <sup>137</sup> Cs
<sup>137</sup> Cs	18600	S	
<sup>151</sup> Sm	4730	M	
<sup>152</sup> Eu	1.45	M	
<sup>154</sup> Eu	83	M	
155 Eu	108	M	
<sup>226</sup> Ra	8.47 E-05	M	
<sup>227</sup> Ac	4.56 E-04	M	
<sup>228</sup> Ra	7.91 E-10	M	
<sup>229</sup> Th	1.25 E-07	M	
<sup>231</sup> Pa	0.00103	M	
<sup>232</sup> Th	7.18 E-11	M	
<sup>232</sup> U	4.40 E-06	M	
<sup>233</sup> U	2.39 E-07	M	
<sup>234</sup> U	0.301	M	
<sup>235</sup> U	0.0135	M	
<sup>236</sup> U	0.00219	M	
<sup>237</sup> Np	0.14	S	
<sup>238</sup> Pu	1.44	M	
<sup>238</sup> U	0.305	M	
<sup>239</sup> Pu	96.4	M	
<sup>240</sup> Pu	10.5	М	
<sup>241</sup> Am	90.7	S	
<sup>241</sup> Pu	88.2	M	
<sup>242</sup> Cm	0.0399	M	

Table 3-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total Inventory (Ci)	Basis (S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment	
<sup>242</sup> Pu	4.93 E-04	M		
<sup>243</sup> Am	0.00134	M		
<sup>243</sup> Cm	0.00306	M		
<sup>244</sup> Cm	0.094	M		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S=Sample-based

M=Hanford Defined Waste model-based (Agnew et al. 1997)

E=Engineering assessment-based

### APPENDIX D

EVALUATION TO ESTABLISH BEST-BASIS INVENTORY FOR SINGLE-SHELL TANK 241-B-110 This page intentionally left blank.

#### APPENDIX D

### EVALUATION TO ESTABLISH BEST-BASIS INVENTORY FOR SINGLE-SHELL TANK 241-B-110

The following evaluation provides a best-basis inventory estimate for chemical and radionuclide components in tank 241-B-110.

### D1.0 IDENTIFY/COMPILE INVENTORY SOURCES

The report by Heasler et al. (1993) provides a statistical evaluation of the sample results from the 1989 sampling event of tank 241-B-110. Of the eight core samples obtained, seven were chemically analyzed. A sample-based inventory was prepared based on the core sample analytical results, a waste density of 1.35 g/mL, and a waste solids volume of 927 kL (245 kgal). The HDW model (Agnew et al. 1996) provides tank contents estimates derived from process flowsheets and waste volume records. Hanlon (1996) gives a total waste volume of 931 kL (246 kgal); this includes 4 kL (1 kgal) of supernate.

## D2.0 COMPARE COMPONENT INVENTORY VALUES AND NOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES

Tables D2-1 and D2-2 show the sample-based inventory estimate from 1989 analytical data and the inventory estimate from HDW model (Agnew et al. 1996) for tank 241-B-110. (The chemical species are reported without charge designation per the best-basis inventory convention). The sample-based inventory in Table D2-1 is based on values reported by Heasler et al. (1993). The waste solids volume used to generate the sample-based inventory is 927 kL (245 kgal), and the waste solids volume in the HDW model is 931 kL (246 kgal). The estimates use different waste densities. The sample-based inventory used a bulk density of 1.35 g/mL. The measured bulk densities of several core sample segments ranged from 1.32 to 1.37 g/mL. The HDW model uses a lower waste density of 1.20 g/mL. The density difference results in an RPD for analytes with the same concentration of 11.8 percent. Significant differences between the sample-based and HDW model inventories are apparent, for example, Al, Bi, Ca, Cl, Cr, K, Na, NH<sub>4</sub>, Ni, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, Pb, Si, S, U, and Zr vary by a factor of two or more.

Table D2-1. Sample- and Hanford Defined Waste Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110. (2 sheets)

Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110. (2 sneets)					
Analyte	Inventory	HDW Model Inventory <sup>1</sup> Estimate (kg)		Sampling Inventory Estimate (kg)	HDW Model Inventory <sup>t</sup> Estimate (kg)
A1	1,420	0	Ni	23.3	112
Ag	58.5	n/r	NO <sub>2</sub>	12,900	382
As	n/r	n/r	NO <sub>3</sub>	2.34E+05	47,900
В	62	n/r	ОН	n/r	33,200
Ва	17.7	n/r	oxalate	n/r	n/r
Ве	n/r	n/r	Pb	661	0
Bi	23,200	13,700	Pd	n/r	n/r
Ca	1,010	7,420	P as PO <sub>4</sub>	61,600	57,200
Се	46.5	n/r	Pt	n/r	n/r
Cd	6.62	n/r	Re	8.1	n/r
C1	1,540	675	Rh	n/r	n/r
Со	n/r	n/r	Ru	139	n/r
Cr	1,010	197	Sb	n/r	n/r
Cr <sup>+3</sup>	n/r	197	Se	n/r	n/r
Cr <sup>+6</sup>	n/r	n/r	Si	11,700	1,870
Cs	n/r	n/r	S as SO <sub>4</sub>	14,400	3,080
Cu	53.2	n/r	Sn	n/r	n/r
F	2,370	2,560	Sr	264	0
Fe	22,600	35,400	Te	24.1	n/a
FeCN/CN	n/r	n/r	TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	5,630	11,100
formate	n/r	n/r	Th	n/r	n/r
Hg	n/r	0	Ti	10.5	n/r
K	390	162	TOC	477	n/r
La	39.8	0	U <sub>TOTAL</sub>	260	488

Table D2-1. Sample- and Hanford Defined Waste Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110. (2 sheets)

Analyte	Sampling Inventory Estimate (kg)	HDW Model Inventory <sup>1</sup> Estimate (kg)	Analyte	Sampling Inventory Estimate (kg)	HDW Model Inventory <sup>1</sup> Estimate (kg)
Li	n/r	n/r	V	3.49	n/r
Mg	223	n/r	W	n/r	n/r
Mn	83.6	n/r	Zn	101	n/r
Мо	16.9	n/r	Zr	7.82	0
Na	1.22E+05	63,400	H <sub>2</sub> O(wt %)	58.1	75.1
Nd	19.9	n/r	Density	1.35	1 20
NH <sub>4</sub>	n/r	49.4	(kg/L)	1.33	1.20

Notes:

n/r = not reported

Table D2-2. Sample- and HDW Model-Based Inventory Estimates for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110.

Analyte	Sampling Inventory Estimate (Ci)	HDW Model Inventory <sup>1</sup> Estimate (Ci)	Analyte	Sampling Inventory Estimate (Ci)	HDW Model Inventory Estimate (Ci)
<sup>14</sup> C	n/r	0.281	<sup>237</sup> Np	0.14	4.56E-03
<sup>90</sup> Sr	1.35E+05	1.85E+05	<sup>239</sup> Pu	n/r	96.4
<sup>99</sup> Tc	20.7	1.99	<sup>241</sup> Am	91	43.6
<sup>129</sup> I	0.045	3.85E-03	Total α	195	n/r
<sup>137</sup> Cs	18,600	8,250	<sup>154</sup> Eu	n/r	83.0

Notes:

n/r = not reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>(Agnew et al. 1996)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Agnew et al. (1997)

### HNF-SD-WM-ER-368 Rev. 1A

#### D3.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF COMPONENT INVENTORIES

The following evaluation of tank contents is performed to identify potential errors and/or missing information that could influence the sample-based and HDW model component inventories.

### **D3.1 CONTRIBUTING WASTE TYPES**

Tank 241-B-110 was put into service in May 1945 as the first tank in the 241-B-110, 241-B-111, and 241-B-112 cascade. The cascade received 2C waste from B Plant. Waste began overflowing to tank 241-B-111 in December 1945, and tank 241-B-111 overflowed to tank 241-B-112 in April 1946. Tank 241-B-112 was filled in August 1946, and the 2C waste was diverted to the cascade made up of tanks 241-B-104, 241-B-105, and 241-B-106. After tanks 241-B-104, 241-B-105, and 241-B-106 were filled, the supernatant from tanks 241-B-110, 241-B-111, and 241-B-112 was pumped to cribs. The 241-B-110 tank cascade began receiving 2C waste again from B Plant in July 1950 and continued receiving waste until B Plant was shut down in June 1952. Tank 241-B-112 began overflowing to a crib in the second quarter of 1951 (Anderson 1990). After B Plant was shut down in June 1952, the tank 241-B-110 cascade began receiving a concentrated flush waste from B Plant. In 1963, tank 241-B-110 began receiving fission product waste from B Plant.

Table D3-1 shows the current waste volumes for the tanks in the 241-B-110 tank cascade (Hanlon 1996).

Table D3-1. Waste Inventory of the 241-B-110, 241-B-111, and 241-B-112 Tank Cascade.

Waste Volume (kL)	Tank 241-B-110	Tank 241-B-111	Tank 241-B-112
Sludge	927	893	114
Saltcake	0	0	0
Supernatant	4	4	11
Drainable liquid	87	79	0

Table D3-2 lists the documented quantities of waste discharged to tank 241-B-110 from the HDW model waste transaction database. These records indicate that bismuth phosphate 2C waste should be the major constituent of the waste in this tank.

Table D3-2. Waste Transaction Information for Tank 241-B-110.1

	Waste Type	Waste Volume (kL)
Waste throughput	2C1	7,960
	2C2	16,450
	DW	556
·	P2	2,551
	В	511
	CSR	753
Total waste throughput		28,781
Current inventory		931

### Note:

CSR = Waste from cesium recovery from supernates

B = Waste from PUREX acidified waste processed for Sr extraction

Table D3-3 compiles the types of solids in tank 241-B-110 as reported by various authors. All sources indicate that second cycle bismuth phosphate waste should be the principal contributor to tank waste solids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Agnew et al. (1996)

Table D3-3. Expected Solids for Tank 241-B-110.

Reference	Type
Anderson (1990)	2C, 5-6, FP, FP-EB, BL-EB, BL-IX, IX
HTCE (Brevick et al. 1994)	2C, P2
SORWT model (Hill et al. 1995)	2C, 5-6, FP, IX
HDW model (Agnew et al. 1996)	2C1, 2C2, DW, P2, CSR

Note:

BL = B Plant low-level waste SORWT = sort on radioactive waste type

#### D3.2 EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL FLOWSHEET INFORMATION

Table D3-4 summarizes the estimate of bismuth phosphate waste discharged to the 241-B-110 tank cascade made in the tank farm process history and the reconstructed fuel processing history.

Table D3-4. B Plant Fuel Processing and 2C Waste Disposition.

Cascade	Period	Fuel Processed (MTU)
Tanks 241-B-110/241-B-111/241-B-112	May 1945 to August 1946	631
Tanks 241-B-104/241-B-105/241-B-106	September 1946 to June 1950	1,312
Tanks 241-B-110/241-B-111/241-B-112	July 1950 to August 1952	823

Note:

MTU = metric tons of uranium

It is possible to estimate of the amount of 2C waste discharged to each cascade from the fuel process history and the flowsheet information. The technical manual flowsheet applies to the first period, and the Schneider (1951) flowsheet applies to the last two periods. The technical manual issued in 1994 is considered to represent early B Plant operations, and the Schneider (1951) flowsheet is considered to represent later years. Table D3-5 shows the results of this calculation.

Table D3-5. Disposition of B Plant 2C Waste.

Period	May 1945 to August 1946	September 1946 to June 1950	July 1950 to August 1952	Total
Cascade	241-B-110	241-B-104	241-B-110	B Plant
Fuel processed (MTU)	631	1,312	823	2,766
	Waste	Component (kg)		
Bi	8,990	23,900	15,000	47,900
Cr	421	1,190	748	2,360
F	19,900	54,100	33,900	1.08E+05
Fe	8,610	31,000	19,400	59,000
Na	2.83E+05	6.75E+05	4.23E+05	1.38E+06
NO <sub>3</sub>	3.64E+05	1.13E+06	7.08E+05	2.20E+06
Si	4,970	13,100	8,200	26,300
PO <sub>4</sub>	2.35E+05	4.23E+05	2.65E+05	9.23E+05
SO <sub>4</sub>	29,500	1.07E+05	66,800	2.03E+05

Table D3-6 compares the calculated discharge to the 241-B-110 cascade to the sample-based inventory for tanks 241-B-110 and 241-B-111. Table D3-1 shows nearly equal accumulations of sludge in tanks 241-B-110 and 241-B-111. Table D3-7 compares the tank 241-B-110 sample-based estimate and HDW model estimate to the projected receipts of the tank 241-B-110 cascade. The waste transaction records state both inventories are 2C waste. Better agreement exists between the flowsheet-based estimate and the sample-based estimate for the species most likely to precipitate (Bi, Cr, Fe, and Si) than any other combination.

The sample-based data for tank 241-B-110 almost fully account for the 2C waste discharged to the 241-B-110 cascade. Although this is the expected result for the first tank in a cascade, it is at odds with the large inventory of bismuth bearing sludge found in tank 241-B-111.

Heasler et al. (1993) provides a statistical evaluation of the sample results for the seven core samples. Little vertical variability or horizontal stratification exist except for a crust over the sludge. The crust is quite different chemically from the waste below it. This is consistent with the later addition of 5-6 and FP waste. Photographs of the tank interior show an orange or brown dark waste surface. Some of the surface has the appearance of mud from a dried lake bottom. Other areas appear to be covered with a thin layer of liquid.

Table D3-6. Comparison of Tanks 241-B-110 and 241-B-111 Inventory Estimates to the 241-B-110 Cascade Receipts.

Waste Component (kg)	Tank 241-B-110 Sample-Based Inventory Estimate (kg)	Tank 241-B-111 Sample-Based Inventory Estimate (kg)	Calculated Inventory Discharged to Cascade (kg)
Bi	23,200	21,500	24,000
Cr	1,010	1,180	1,170
F	2,370	1,660	53,800
Fe	22,600	18,900	28,000
Na	1.22E+05	1.02E+05	7.06E+05
NO <sub>3</sub>	2.34E+05	87,400	1.07E+06
Si	11,700	11,100	13,200
PO <sub>4</sub>	61,600	51,800	5.00E+05
SO <sub>4</sub>	14,400	12,400	96,300

Table D3-7. Comparison of Tank 241-B-110 Inventory Estimates to the Total Cascade Receipts.

Waste Component (kg)	Sample Based Inventory Estimate (kg)	HDW Model Inventory Estimate (kg)	Total Calculated Inventory Discharged to Cascade (kg)	HDW Cascade B-110, B-111, B-112, Retained (kg)
Bi	23,200	13,700	24,000	21,000
Cr	1,010	197	1,170	792
F	2,370	2,560	53,800	4,400
Fe	22,600	35,400	28,000	89,600
Na	1.22E+05	63,400	7.06E+05	1.21E+05
NO <sub>3</sub>	2.34E+05	47,900	1.07E+06	1.08E+05
Si	11,700	1,870	13,200	6,660
PO <sub>4</sub>	61,600	57,200	5.00E+05	69,000
SO <sub>4</sub>	14,400	3,080	96,300	7,930

**Document Element Basis.** In the flowsheet analysis, Bi, Cr, Fe, Si,  $PO_4$ , and sulfate analysis are assumed to fully precipitate. The flowsheet analysis for the Bi, Cr, Fe, and Si reconciles best with the sample-based estimate. The HDW model reconciles better with the sample-based estimate for  $PO_4$  and  $SO_4$ .

Fluoride, Na, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>3</sub> inventories cannot be reconciled because these components are relatively soluble, and most would have exited the tank by the cascade system. The best source of information with respect to these compounds is the sample-based estimate.

Significant differences exist between sample-based and HDW model inventories, for example, Al, Bi, Ca, Cl, Cr, K, Na, NH<sub>4</sub>, Ni, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, Pb, Si, S, U, and Zr vary by a factor of two or more.

Once the best basis inventories were determined, the hydroxide inventory was calculated by performing a charge balance with the valences of other analytes. In some cases this approach requires that other analyte (e.g., sodium or nitrate) inventories be adjusted to achieve the charge balance. During such adjustments the number of significant figures is not increased. This charge balance approach was consistent with that used by Agnew et al. (1996).

## D4.0 DEFINE THE BEST-BASIS AND ESTABLISH COMPONENT INVENTORIES

The results from this evaluation are based on sampling data for tank 241-B-110 for the following reasons:

- 1. Analytical results from composite cores samples from four different risers were used to estimate the component inventories.
- 2. The sample-based inventory agrees with composition of bismuth phosphate 2C waste, provided it is assumed that the primary source of sludge is bismuth phosphate 2C waste.

These results are subject to future review because of lack of reconciliation to the flowsheet projected inventory. Tables D4-1 and D4-2 show the best-basis inventory estimates for tank 241-B-110. The inventory values reported in Tables D4-1 and D4-2 are subject to change. Refer to the Tank Characterization Database (TCD) for the most current inventory values.

Best-basis tank inventory values are derived for 46 key radionuclides (as defined in Section 3.1 of Kupfer et al. 1997), all decayed to a common report date of January 1, 1994. Often, waste sample analyses have only reported <sup>90</sup>Sr, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>239/240</sup>Pu, and total uranium, or (total beta and total alpha) while other key radionuclides such as <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>99</sup>Tc, <sup>129</sup>I, <sup>154</sup>Eu, <sup>155</sup>Eu, and <sup>241</sup>Am, etc., have been infrequently reported. For this reason it has been necessary to derive most of the 46 key radionuclides by computer models. These models estimate radionuclide activity in batches of reactor fuel, account for the split of radionuclides to various separations plant waste

streams, and track their movement with tank waste transactions. (These computer models are described in Kupfer et al. 1997, Section 6.1 and in Watrous and Wootan 1997.) Model generated values for radionuclides in any of 177 tanks are reported in the Hanford Defined Waste Rev. 4 model results (Agnew et al. 1997). The best-basis value for any one analyte may be either a model result or a sample or engineering assessment-based result if available. (No attempt has been made to ratio or normalize model results for all 46 radionuclides when values for measured radionuclides disagree with the model.) For a discussion of typical error between model derived values and sample derived values, see Kupfer et al. 1997, Section 6.1.10.

Best-basis tables for chemicals and only four radionuclides ( $^{90}$ Sr,  $^{137}$ Cs, Pu and U) were being generated in 1996, using values derived from an earlier version (Rev. 3) of the Hanford Defined Waste model. When values for all 46 radionuclides became available in Rev 4 of the HDW model, they were merged with draft best-basis chemical inventory documents. Defined scope of work in FY 1997 did not permit Rev. 3 chemical values to be updated to Rev. 4 chemical values.

Table D4-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110. (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Compone	onto III Talik 271-	D IIU, (LIICUIVE SC)	pterioer 50, 1990). (2 Sheets)
Analyte	Total	Basis	Comment
	Inventory	(S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	
	(kg)		
Al	1420	S	
Bi	23200	S	
Ca	1010	S	
C1	1540	S	
TIC as CO <sub>3</sub>	5630	S	
Cr	1010	S	
F	2370	S	
Fe	22600	S	
Hg	0	M	
K	390	S	
La	39.8	S	
Mn	83.6	S	
Na	122000	S	
Ni	23.3	S	
NO <sub>2</sub>	12900	S	
NO <sub>3</sub>	234000	S	
OH	51900	С	charge balance calculation

Table D4-1. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Nonradioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110, (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total Inventory (kg)	Basis (S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
P as PO <sub>4</sub>	61600	S	P as PO4
Pb	661	S	
S as SO <sub>4</sub>	14400	S	S as SO4
Si	11700	S	
Sr	264	S	·
TOC	477	S	
$U_{TOTAL}$	260	S	
Zr	7.82	S	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S=Sample-based

M=Hanford Defined Waste model-based (Agnew et al. 1996)

E=Engineering assessment-based

C=Calculated by charge balance; includes oxides as hydroxides, not including CO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, PO<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub>, and SiO<sub>3</sub>

Table D4-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Analyte	Total	Basis	September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)  Comment
	Inventory	(S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	
	(Ci)		
$^{3}H$	1.48	M	
<sup>14</sup> C	0.281	M	
<sup>59</sup> Ni	1.34	M	
<sup>60</sup> Co	0.374	M	
<sup>63</sup> Ni	135	M	
<sup>79</sup> Se	1.27	M	
<sup>90</sup> Sr	135000	S	
<sup>90</sup> Y	135000	S	based on 90Sr
<sup>93m</sup> Nb	4.37	M	
<sup>93</sup> Zr	5.82	M	
<sup>99</sup> Tc	20.7	S	
<sup>106</sup> Ru	0.00433	M	
<sup>113m</sup> Cd	24.9	M	
<sup>125</sup> Sb	1.67	M	
<sup>126</sup> Sn	1.98	M	<u> </u>
<sup>129</sup> I	0.045	S	
· 134Cs	0.0887	M	
<sup>137m</sup> Ba	17600	M	based on <sup>137</sup> Cs
<sup>137</sup> Cs	18600	S	
<sup>151</sup> Sm	4730	M	
<sup>152</sup> Eu	1.45	М	
<sup>154</sup> Eu	83	M	
<sup>155</sup> Eu	108	M	
<sup>226</sup> Ra	8.47 E-05	M	
<sup>227</sup> Ac	4.56 E-04	· M	
<sup>228</sup> Ra	7.91 E-10	M	
<sup>229</sup> Th	1.25 E-07	M	
<sup>231</sup> Pa	0.00103	M	
<sup>232</sup> Th	7.18 E-11	M	
<sup>232</sup> U	4.40 E-06	M	
<sup>233</sup> U	2.39 E-07	M	
<sup>234</sup> U	0.301	M	

Table D4-2. Best-Basis Inventory Estimate for Radioactive Components in Tank 241-B-110 Decayed to January 1, 1994 (Effective September 30, 1996). (2 Sheets)

Z+1-D-110 Deca	red to January 1,	1334 (Ellective St	epternoer 30, 1990). (2 Sheets)
Analyte	Total Inventory (Ci)	Basis (S,M,E, or C) <sup>1</sup>	Comment
<sup>235</sup> U	0.0135	M	
$^{236}\mathrm{U}$	0.00219	M	
<sup>237</sup> Np	0.14	S	
<sup>238</sup> Pu	1.44	M	
<sup>238</sup> U	0.305	M	
<sup>239</sup> Pu	96.4	M	
<sup>240</sup> Pu	10.5	М	
<sup>241</sup> Am	90.7	S	
<sup>241</sup> Pu	88.2	M	
<sup>242</sup> Cm	0.0399	M	
<sup>242</sup> Pu	4.93 E-04	M	
<sup>243</sup> Am	0.00134	M	
<sup>243</sup> Cm	0.00306	M	
<sup>244</sup> Cm	0.094	М	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>S=Sample-based

M=Hanford Defined Waste model-based (Agnew et al. 1997)

E=Engineering assessment-based

### **D5.0 APPENDIX D REFERENCES**

- Agnew, S. F., J. Boyer, R. Corbin, T. Duran, J. FitzPatrick, K. Jurgensen, T. Ortiz, and B. Young, 1997, *Hanford Tank Chemical and Radionuclide Inventories: HDW Model Rev.* 4, LA-UR-96-3860, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Agnew, S. F., J. Boyer, R. Corbin, T. Duran, J. Fitzpatrick, K. Jurgensen, T. Ortiz, and B. Young, 1996, *Hanford Tank Chemical and Radionuclide Inventories: HDW Model Rev. 3*, LA-UR-96-858, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Anderson, J. D., 1990, A History of the 200 Area Farms, WHC-MR-0132, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Brevick, C. H., L. A. Gaddis, W. W. Pickett, 1994, Historical Tank Content Estimate for the Northeast Quadrant of the Hanford 200 East Area, WHC-SD-WM-ER-349, Rev. 0, ICF Kaiser Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Brevick, C. H., 1996, Waste Status and Transaction Record Summary for the Northwest Quadrant of the Hanford 200 Areas, WHC-SD-WM-TI-699, Rev. 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Hanlon, B. M., 1996, Waste Tank Summary Report for Month Ending May 31, 1996, WHC-EP-182-99, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Heasler, P. G., C. M. Anderson, D. B. Baird, R. J. Serne, P. D. Whitney, 1993, *Statistical Evaluation of Core Samples from Hanford Tank 241-B-110*, PNL-8745, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Hill, J. G., G. S. Anderson, and B. C. Simpson, 1995, The Sort on Radioactive Waste Type Model: A Method to Sort Single-shell Tanks into Characteristic Groups, PNL-9814, Rev. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Kupfer, M. J., A. L. Boldt, B. A. Higley, K. M. Hodgson, L. W. Shelton, and R. A. Watrous (LMHC), S. L. Lambert, and D. E. Place (SESC), R. M. Orme (NHC), G. L. Borsheim (Borsheim Associates), N. G. Colton (PNNL), M. D. LeClair (SAIC), R. T. Winward (Meier Associates), and W. W. Schulz (W<sup>2</sup>S Corporation), 1997, Standard Inventories of Chemicals and Radionuclides in Hanford Site Tank Wastes, HNF-SD-WM-TI-740, Rev. 0, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- Schneider, K. L., 1951, Flow Sheets and Flow Diagrams of Precipitation Separations Process, HW-23043, Hanford Atomic Products Operation, Richland, Washington.

### HNF-SD-WM-ER-368 Rev. 1A

Watrous, R. A., and D. W. Wootan, 1997, Activity of Fuel Batches Processed Through Hanford Separations Plants, 1944 Through 1989, HNF-SD-WM-TI-794, Rev. 0, Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.

1	DISTR	IBUTIO	N SHEET	<u> </u>			
To .	From			Page 1 of 2			
Distribution	Data Assessment and Interpretation			D	Date 08/06/97		
Project Title/Work Order					EI	DT No. N/A	
Tank Characterization Report for HNF-SD-WM-ER-368, Rev. 1-A	Single	e-Shell l	ank 241-B	-110,	E	CN No. ECN	-635526
Name		MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text On	ly	Attach./ Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only
<u>OFFSITE</u>							
Sandia National Laboratory P.O. Box 5800 MS-0744, Dept. 6404 Albuquerque, NM 87815							
D. Powers			Χ				
Nuclear Consulting Services Inc. P. O. Box 29151 Columbus, OH 43229-01051							
J. L. Kovach			Χ				
<u>Chemical Reaction Sub-TAP</u> P.O. Box 271 Lindsborg, KS 67456							·
B. C. Hudson			Χ	·		•	
SAIC 555 Quince Orchard Rd., Suite 500 Gaithersburg, MD 20878-1437							
H. Sutter			Χ				
Los Alamos Laboratory CST-14 MS-J586 P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545							
S. F. Agnew			Χ				
Tank Advisory Panel 102 Windham Road Oak Ridge, TN 37830							
D. O. Campbell			Χ				
						,	

D	ISTRIBUTIO	N SHEET	•			
To Pistribution	rom	cocemont a	and	Page 2 of 2	06.407	
DISTRIBUTION		sessment a pretation	iria	Date 08/06/97		
Project Title/Work Order		•		EDT No. N/A		
Tank Characterization Report for S HNF-SD-WM-ER-368, Rev. 1-A	ingle-Shell	Tank 241-B	-110,	ECN No. ECN	-635526	
Name	MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text Only	y Attach./ Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only	
<u>ONSITE</u>						
<u>Department of Energy - Richland Ope</u> J. F. Thompson W. S. Liou J. A. Poppiti	<u>rations</u> S7-54 S7-54 S7-54	X X . X				
DE&S Hanford, Inc. R. J. Cash W. L. Cowley G. L. Dunford G. D. Johnson J. E. Meacham	S7-14 R2-54 A2-34 S7-14 S7-14	X X X X				
<u>Fluor Daniel Northwest</u> E. D. Johnson	E6-08	X				
Lockheed Martin Hanford, Corp. C. J. Benar K. M. Hodgson T. J. Kelley L. M. Sasaki B. C. Simpson L. R. Webb ERC (Environmental Resource Center) T.C.S.R.C.	R2-12 H0-34 S7-21 R2-12 R2-12 R2-12 R1-51 R1-10	X X X X X X X 5				
<u>Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.</u> B. G. Lauzon Central Files EDMC	R1-08 A3-88 H6-08	X X X				
Numatec Hanford Corporation J. S. Garfield D. L. Herting J. S. Hertzel D. L. Lamberd	H5-49 T6-07 H5-61 H5-61	X X X X				
<u>Pacific Northwest National Laborato</u> A. F. Noonan	<u>ry</u> K9-91	. X				